

THE GLOBAL RISE OF NEOBANKS AND CHALLENGER BANKS: DISRUPTION IN THE BANKING SECTOR

DOI: 10.17261/Pressacademia.2025.1974

JBEF- V.14-ISS.1-2025(2)-p.15-24

Ahmad Al-Harbi

Alasala Colleges, King Fahd Road, Dammam 32235, Saudi Arabia.

ahmad.alaharbi@alasala.edu.sa, ORCID: 0000-0003-1593-7835

Date Received: March 27, 2025

Date Accepted: June 9, 2025



To cite this document

Al-Harbi, A., (2025). The global rise of neobanks and challenger banks: disruption in the banking sector. Journal of Business, Economics and Finance (JBEF), 14(1), 15-24.

Permanent link to this document: <http://doi.org/10.17261/Pressacademia.2025.1974>

Copyright: Published by PressAcademia and limited licensed re-use rights only.

ABSTRACT

Purpose- This paper explores the rise of neobanks and challenger banks, analysing their transformative impact on the traditional banking sector. It argues these technology-driven entities reshape financial services through efficient, customer-centric solutions meeting modern consumer preferences.

Methodology- The study employs a qualitative research approach via a comprehensive literature review, examining academic articles, industry reports, and case studies.

Findings- Findings reveal a significant shift towards digital banking, driven by historical context, technological advancements (like mobile banking, AI, open banking APIs), and changing consumer behavior favouring convenience, transparency, cost-effectiveness, and personalization. Detailed case studies of neobanks like Revolut, Chime, and Nubank illustrate successful strategies disrupting traditional norms. These banks leverage technology for lower fees, enhanced user experience, and financial inclusion. The analysis highlights the pressure on traditional banks to innovate and adapt amidst this digital transformation.

Conclusion- Neobanks and challenger banks represent a fundamental shift, compelling traditional institutions to embrace digital transformation to remain competitive. The paper underscores the need for adaptation and addresses the regulatory challenges posed by these emerging financial players.

Keywords: Neobanks, challenger banks, digital banking, fintech, financial innovation, banking disruption, consumer behavior.

JEL Codes: G21, O33, D12

1. INTRODUCTION

Neobanks and challenger banks are revolutionizing the provision of financial services by leveraging technology to create innovative solutions that cater to the evolving needs of consumers and businesses. Unlike traditional banks, which rely heavily on physical branches and legacy systems, neobanks and challenger banks primarily operate online, utilizing digital platforms to offer a wide array of financial services. This shift towards digital banking signifies a significant transformation in how individuals and businesses manage their finances, reflecting a broader trend of embracing technology in all aspects of life.

These new banking entities are compelling traditional banks to innovate and rethink their strategies to retain their customer base. Neobanks and challenger banks are at the forefront of this innovation, demonstrating that technology can create more efficient and customer-centric banking experiences. As society increasingly relies on digital solutions, the banking sector must adapt to meet the preferences of a new generation of consumers who value convenience, speed, and accessibility. The significance of this topic lies in its ability to illustrate how neobanks and challenger banks are reshaping the financial landscape.

Neobanks and challenger banks focus on enhancing the customer experience by eliminating bureaucratic hurdles, reducing fees, and offering personalized financial management tools. They provide services such as checking and savings accounts, payment processing, and investment options, all accessible via mobile apps or websites. Neobanks operate entirely online without physical branches, offering a modern alternative to traditional banking. To understand their impact, it is essential to define these institutions and explore how they differ from traditional banks. While challenger banks may have a physical presence, they typically operate with a modern and agile approach, setting them apart from traditional banks.

Traditional banks often face challenges such as outdated systems, high compliance costs, and limited agility in adapting to market changes. This paper argues that neobanks and challenger banks are disrupting the banking industry by using technology to offer more efficient and customer-focused services. Built on technological foundations, these new banking entities can quickly respond to market trends and consumer needs, challenging the core nature of banking itself.

The rise of mobile banking applications has significantly increased the number of people banking online, creating a favorable environment for neobanks and challenger banks to flourish. The proliferation of smartphones and high-speed internet has made it easier for consumers to access banking services anytime and anywhere. According to a report from Statista, there were over 3 billion smartphone users worldwide as of 2021, and this number is expected to grow. Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning have enabled these banks to offer more personalized services, better predictions, and improved customer support, enhancing the overall user experience.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the shift towards digital banking solutions. As lockdowns and social distancing measures were implemented globally, many consumers had to rely on online banking services, while traditional banks struggled to adapt to this sudden change. In contrast, neobanks and challenger banks were well-equipped to handle the surge in digital transactions, allowing them to capture a larger market share. A McKinsey (2020) report highlights that the pandemic significantly altered consumer behavior, with many now preferring digital banking options over traditional in-person services. This shift underscores the growing importance of neobanks and challenger banks in the financial landscape.

A crucial factor in the rise of neobanks and challenger banks is their ability to serve typically underserved populations. Traditional banks have often been criticized for their limited inclusivity, as many individuals face barriers to accessing financial services due to factors such as credit history, location, or socio-economic status. Neobanks and challenger banks have emerged as viable alternatives, offering services that cater to individuals who may have been overlooked by traditional banks. For instance, many neobanks have adopted more lenient credit assessment policies, allowing individuals with limited credit histories to open accounts and access financial products. By providing accessible banking solutions, these institutions are driving financial inclusion and expanding their customer base.

In addition to being more inclusive, neobanks and challenger banks are known for their transparent fee structures. Many consumers find it challenging to understand the numerous fees imposed by traditional banks. In contrast, neobanks and challenger banks often adopt a straightforward approach, with clearly outlined fees that enhance customer trust and satisfaction. Younger consumers, wary of hidden fees and complex financial products, find this transparency particularly appealing. By prioritizing transparency, neobanks and challenger banks position themselves as trustworthy alternatives to traditional banks, driving their adoption among consumers.

The rise of neobanks and challenger banks poses a significant threat to traditional banks, which are now investing in enhancing their digital capabilities. Many established banks have launched digital-only subsidiaries to compete with neobanks, while others are partnering with fintech companies to improve their service offerings. This response demonstrates how the disruption caused by neobanks and challenger banks is forcing traditional banks to rethink their strategies and embrace digital transformation.

As the banking industry continues to evolve, traditional banks must adapt and innovate to remain competitive in this rapidly changing environment. The subsequent sections of this paper will explore the historical context and technological advancements that have facilitated the rise of neobanks and challenger banks. Additionally, the paper will examine consumer behavior and market dynamics driving their adoption. By leveraging technology to offer innovative, customer-centric services, neobanks and challenger banks are reshaping the financial landscape and disrupting traditional banking practices. The rise of these new banking entities marks a pivotal moment in the evolution of banking, reflecting broader societal changes in consumer behavior, technological advancements, and the demand for more inclusive and transparent financial solutions.

2.METHODOLOGY

This paper utilizes a qualitative research approach through a comprehensive literature review to examine the rise of neobanks and challenger banks and their impact on the traditional banking sector. The methodology involves:

1.Selection of Sources: Identifying relevant academic articles, industry reports, and case studies using keywords like "neobanks," "challenger banks," "digital banking," and "financial innovation."

2.Case Studies: Including detailed case studies of successful neobanks and challenger banks, such as Revolut, Chime, and Nubank, to illustrate their strategies and the disruption they cause to established banking norms.

By employing this methodology, the paper aims to provide a thorough understanding of how neobanks and challenger banks are reshaping the financial landscape through innovative and customer-centric approaches

3.FINDINGS

3.1. Historical Context and Technological Advancements

To grasp their rise, consider examples of historical context and the technological advancements that have driven their development. Neobanks and challenger banks are shaking up traditional banking. They are significant disruptors in the financial sector. Digital-first financial institutions are changing the game. They use advanced tech to transform the delivery of banking services.

Regulatory changes have opened the door for neobanks and challenger banks to enter the financial services market. These regulatory frameworks have often lowered barriers to entry, letting new players challenge the dominance of traditional banks, you know (Barodawala, 2022). In various areas, regulators have implemented policies to promote competition and innovation, including the issuance of special licenses for digital banks.

In the United States, Chime has become a top challenger bank by focusing on offering simple and affordable banking solutions. By cutting fees & providing perks like early direct deposit and automatic savings, Chime has drawn in millions of customers. The importance of understanding consumer needs and leveraging technology to provide value-added services is underscored by the bank's success (Shanti, Siregar, & Zulfainarni, 2024).

Neobanks & challenger banks are often thought of as the same, but they actually have some distinct characteristics. Challenger banks, in contrast, might operate with a hybrid model that includes a bit of a physical presence. They want to challenge traditional banks by providing fresh services, improved customer experiences, & lower costs (Chrzanowski & Dąbrowski, 2021; Kashyap, 2021). They focus on creating a seamless digital experience, often targeting tech-savvy customers who are comfortable with managing their finances online. And they know this audience well. Neobanks are usually branchless. They're digital-only banks that provide a variety of financial services via mobile apps & online platforms.

The Impact of Fintech Evolution Fintech has transformed the financial landscape significantly. This sector has evolved rapidly, introducing innovative technologies that enhance user experiences. Traditional banking methods are being challenged as new solutions emerge. These advancements not only streamline transactions but also increase accessibility for underserved populations. As fintech continues to grow, its influence on global finance becomes increasingly evident.

Often, artificial intelligence enhances personalization. For instance, it tailors recommendations based on user behavior. Typically, this involves analyzing data to predict preferences. Users might notice that their experiences feel more relevant and customized. However, the extent of personalization can vary, leading to mixed results.

Revolut is a leading European neobank that shows how technology is changing banking. Founded in 2015, Revolut rapidly became popular by providing free currency exchange, international money transfers, and a variety of new financial products. The company's success stems from its digital-first strategy. It uses mobile technology, AI, and open banking to enhance the customer experience (Temelkov, 2020).

In conclusion, the rise of neobanks and challenger banks has often been driven by historical context and technological advancements, which typically play a crucial role. Digital-first financial institutions use innovations like mobile banking, AI, open banking, and blockchain. As a result, they provide better services than traditional banks. As the financial landscape keeps evolving, neobanks & challenger banks are set to take on a more significant role. They're driving innovation and reshaping the industry in exciting ways. The success of these entities hinges on their ability to adapt to technological advancements, changing consumer preferences, and regulatory requirements (Zoi, 2021).

Open banking & APIs have really boosted the growth of neobanks & challenger banks. Open banking regulations require traditional banks to share customer data with third-party providers, which helps in developing new financial services & products. Neobanks and challenger banks have taken advantage of this chance. They often use APIs to provide connected services. For example, they can combine several bank accounts into one app or give personalized financial advice based on detailed data analysis (Santos, 2018).

The Effect on Conventional Banks Traditional banks often face significant challenges in today's evolving financial landscape. They usually struggle to adapt to new technologies and changing customer expectations. But, these institutions typically have established trust and stability. Yet, they must innovate quickly to remain competitive. Overall, the impact on traditional banks can be quite profound as they navigate these ongoing changes.

Traditional banks struggled with personalization, offering mostly standardized products and services (Lindström & Nilsson, 2023). Now, AI-driven chatbots and virtual assistants improve customer service. They provide around-the-clock support and address inquiries instantly (Corander, 2021).

Blockchain technology has significantly influenced the growth of neobanks and challenger banks. For instance, it boosts security and increases transparency. This increased level of security has often helped build trust among consumers who might have been somewhat hesitant to adopt digital banking solutions (Johnson, 2021). The decentralized nature of blockchain guarantees that transactions are documented on a secure, tamper-resistant ledger, which lowers the likelihood of fraud and cyberattacks.

Nubank, a Brazilian neobank, has really shaken up the traditional banking sector in Latin America by providing a digital-first banking experience. Focusing on transparency & customer satisfaction, Nubank has quickly grown to be one of the biggest digital banks in the region. The company's success highlights how neobanks can effectively compete with traditional financial institutions. They do this by harnessing technology and utilizing data analytics (Onashabay, 2021).

Many established banks have often responded by investing in digital transformation initiatives, like developing their own mobile apps & digital services. The rise of neobanks & challenger banks has really put pressure on traditional banks to innovate & adapt. The agility and customer-focused approach of neobanks and challenger banks often provides them an advantage in addressing the changing needs of consumers Damsgaard 2021.

Open banking & APIs play a crucial role in transforming the financial landscape. By allowing third-party developers access to banking data, they enable innovative services. This shift not only enhances customer experiences but also fosters competition in the industry. With the rise of new technologies, traditional banks are adapting to these changes. Ultimately, the integration of open banking & APIs is reshaping how we interact with financial services today.

The rise of neobanks & challenger banks is closely tied to the evolution of fintech. And, this development reflects a broader trend in financial technology. The financial services industry has been transformed by fintech innovations, which have introduced new business models and enabled more efficient, customer-focused services. These innovations have often made banking services more accessible. They allow smaller, flexible firms to compete with bigger banks.

Neobanks and challenger banks are reshaping the banking landscape. For example, neobanks operate entirely online, offering services via apps. Challenger banks, on the other hand, are traditional banks that adopt modern technology to enhance customer experience. Both focus on user-friendly interfaces and lower fees. They cater to tech-savvy customers who prefer digital solutions over in-person banking.

One of the key technological advancements that often paved the way for neobanks & challenger banks is mobile banking. As smartphones became widespread, consumers started seeking more convenient methods to handle their finances. Traditional banks typically struggled to adapt, often limited by outdated systems and regulatory compliance challenges. In contrast, neobanks and challenger banks quickly adopted mobile technology, providing user-friendly apps that let customers open accounts, transfer money, and access financial services with just a few taps on their devices.

3.2. Consumer Behavior and Market Dynamics

The landscape of banking has seen a big transformation in recent years, mainly due to the rise of neobanks & challenger banks. This transformation is not just a change in the institutional players but shows a deep change in consumer preferences and market dynamics that favor digital banking solutions. Grasping the factors behind this shift is crucial, as it explains why neobanks and challenger banks have become popular in an industry traditionally led by conventional banks.

One of the most important things that affects how consumers act is really convenience. In today's fast-paced world, consumers are more & more looking for services that save them time & effort. Neobanks and challenger banks, they have digital-first approaches which offer banking services that you can access anywhere anytime through apps on your phone and websites. Unlike traditional banks, which typically require in-person visits or lengthy phone calls, these digital banks often enable customers to open accounts, transfer funds, and manage finances directly from their smartphones. Accenture's (2023) study found that 73% of consumers would use a digital bank for faster and easier transactions. This stat shows a strong preference for banking options that focus on convenience, which is boosting the growth of neobanks & challenger banks.

Neobanks & challenger banks, in contrast, often get praise for their simple pricing models. They usually come with lower fees and clearer terms. But their appeal lies in the transparency they offer. For example, a lot of neobanks provide free basic banking services, & fees are only charged when customers choose premium services. Many traditional banks are often criticized for unclear fees and complex terms. This usually creates a sense of distrust among consumers. This openness builds trust and loyalty among consumers who want to know what they are paying for and why. The 2008 financial crisis made many people lose trust in traditional banks, which seemed to care more about profits than customers.

Traditional banks usually incur higher operational costs, often transferring these expenses to customers through various fees. Cost-effectiveness often attracts consumers to neobanks and challenger banks. Neobanks can often cut costs significantly by using technology and efficient operations. For example, a report from Deloitte (2020) shows that neobanks can run with costs that are up to 80% less than traditional banks because of their digital-only models and no physical branches.

The decline of trust in traditional banks has really played a key role in the shift to digital banking solutions. And, you know, this change is significant. Trust issues are pushing more people to embrace these new options. The 2008 financial crisis marked a major shift. It sparked widespread doubt about the banking sector. Many consumers felt let down by institutions they once trusted with their savings, leading them to look for alternatives that promised more accountability. Neobanks and challenger banks have seized this opportunity. They present themselves as customer-focused options that emphasize user experience and ethical banking. Younger consumers, who tend to prioritize their values and experiences, have particularly resonated with this approach, making them more likely to switch banks. In 2021 a PwC survey revealed that 59% of millennials might switch banks for a better customer experience, emphasizing the significance of trust and service in their decision-making.

Additionally, the growing need for personalized financial services has played a significant role in the increasing popularity of neobanks and challenger banks. Today's consumers anticipate personalized experiences that cater to their specific financial requirements. Traditional banks often don't meet this, offering a one-size-fits-all solution that doesn't cater to individual preferences. Neobanks use smart data analysis & AI to offer personalized advice and services. Many neobanks provide budgeting tools that assess spending patterns and recommend methods for saving or investing. By utilizing technology to provide personalized experiences, these digital banks typically address the increasing consumer demand for tailored financial solutions.

The demographic shift often significantly influences the dynamics of consumer behavior. This group is not only more likely to use online banking services but also more open to neobanks and challenger banks that fit their tech-friendly way of life. Younger generations, like millennials and Generation Z, are more at ease with technology. They have grown up surrounded by digital solutions, unlike older generations. The challenge for traditional banks is to adjust to these changing preferences and connect with younger customers who are increasingly looking for different banking options. A report by the Federal Reserve (2024) indicated that nearly 80% of millennials typically prefer to bank digitally rather than visit a physical branch.

Neobanks and challenger banks use social media to connect with potential customers and increase their brand visibility. A 2020 study from eMarketer find that 54% of consumers are influenced by the social media when they make financial decisions which underscores importance of digital presence in shaping the consumer behaviors. Traditional banks, which typically use conventional advertising methods, may find it challenging to connect with younger audiences similarly. Through targeted advertising and engaging content, digital banks can connect personally with consumers, thereby increasing their appeal. Additionally, the growth of social media and online marketing has often influenced how consumers behave in the banking industry.

Market dynamics also support the rise of neobanks & challenger banks. And this trend seems likely to continue. The rules governing the financial industry have changed to encourage innovation, allowing new companies to compete with traditional banks. In many areas, regulatory bodies have taken steps to boost competition. For instance, open banking initiatives enable consumers to share their banking data with third-party providers. This sharing aims to enhance choices for users & promote innovation. This regulatory shift has often paved the way for neobanks & challenger banks to enter the market, offering innovative services that traditional banks might not usually provide. For instance, open banking enables neobanks to view a customer's transaction history, allowing them to develop customized financial products and services suited to individual requirements.

The COVID-19 pandemic has sped up the use of digital banking. People now depend more on technology to handle their money. Social distancing rules & lockdowns made many people look for online banking. This caused a big rise in users for neobanks & challenger banks. A McKinsey (2020) report indicates that digital banking adoption rose by about 20% during the pandemic. Many consumers, who typically relied on traditional banking, often transitioned to digital platforms. The urgency for traditional banks to adapt to changing consumer behaviors and preferences is underscored by this shift, or they may risk losing market share to more agile digital competitors.

Even with good consumer habits & market trends helping neobanks and challenger banks grow, there are still hurdles to tackle. One main concern for consumers is security. As digital banking solutions gain popularity, the rise in cybercrime and data breaches has made some consumers cautious. They hesitate to trust neobanks with their financial information. A survey done in 2024 by J.D. Power found that customer satisfaction has, at times, fluctuated. Many respondents expressed mixed feelings. They noted that while some services are improving, others still need work. And, issues like responsiveness & quality of service were highlighted. But, overall, the survey suggests a trend towards better experiences. Power discovered that 38% of consumers are worried about the security of their financial data when using digital banks. To tackle these issues, neobanks should invest in strong security measures and clearly communicate their data protection policies to foster trust with potential customers.

The lack of branches often helps reduce operational costs, but it may also deter some customers who typically favor in-person interactions or might need assistance with complicated financial issues. While many neobanks typically provide customer support via digital channels, some individuals might still feel more at ease discussing their finances in person. Thus, neobanks typically need to find ways to balance their digital-first approach with customer service options that often cater to diverse preferences. The absence of physical branches presents a double-edged sword for challenger banks and neobanks.

Traditional banks face challenges from new players and must adapt to shifting market dynamics or risk obsolescence. The rise of neobanks & challenger banks often represents not just a shift in banking models but also a broader transformation in how consumers typically engage with financial services in the digital age. Neobanks and challenger banks have often emerged as innovative alternatives that typically meet these evolving consumer needs, leveraging technology to create usually seamless banking experiences. The future of banking is likely gonna be shaped by how institutions—traditional & digital—embrace innovation while focusing on customer-centric methods in a more competitive landscape. To sum up, the shift in consumer behavior towards digital banking solutions is influenced by factors like convenience, transparency, cost-effectiveness, a decline in trust for traditional banks, & the need for personalized services.

3.3. Case Studies of Successful Neobanks and Challenger Banks

In the fast-changing world of finance, neobanks & challenger banks have become powerful players. They're shaking up the traditional banking systems. But their rise is reshaping how we think about banking entirely. This section explores three significant case studies: Revolut in Europe, Chime in the United States, and Nubank in Latin America. Each of these banks has typically carved out a unique niche for itself, often leveraging technology to address the common shortcomings of conventional banking services. By looking at their business models and strategies and innovations we can better understand how these companies not only disrupt the traditional practices but also create new standards for customer engagement and services in finance.

Also, the rise of neobanks & challenger banks has major implications for regulatory frameworks within the financial sector. As these new players often shake up traditional banking methods, regulators usually struggle to ensure consumer protection while encouraging innovation. This dynamic is very important for keeping the integrity of a financial system and making sure that the benefits of digital banking are enjoyed by all consumers.

Revolut has a key strategy: transparency & low fees. It's focused on making things clear for users. But this approach is essential for building trust in the financial sector. Traditional banks frequently apply several fees for foreign transactions, currency exchange, and maintaining accounts. Revolut has a notably simpler fee structure in comparison. For example, users can utilize foreign exchange services without the high markups usually associated with banks, attracting a large customer base looking for affordable options. As of 2021, Revolut had more than 15 million users, showing its fast growth & popularity in the market.

Chime makes money by partnering with banks and merchants, enabling it to keep its no-fee approach while staying financially stable. Chime's business model changes traditional banking by focusing on user-friendly solutions. It shows that banks can be profitable without relying on fees.

Nubank also uses data analytics to customize its services for each customer, creating a more personalized banking experience. The bank uses advanced technology for boosting its operational efficiency and improving customer experience. The app offers users real-time insights into their spending habits and credit utilization. This empowers them to make informed financial choices. Nubank's fresh approach goes beyond its products. It's about creating a new banking experience.

Founded in 2015 in the United Kingdom, Revolut is often seen as a prime example of a neobank. Nikolay Storonsky and Vlad Yatsenko established it, utilizing technology to typically offer a wide array of financial services. Currently, it offers services like cryptocurrency trading, stock trading, budgeting tools, and insurance products, all within a user-friendly mobile app.

Chime, started in 2013 by Chris Britt and Ryan King, has become a prominent challenger bank in the U.S. It aims to offer straightforward and accessible banking options, especially for consumers often overlooked by conventional banks. The company uses a model that focuses on fee-free banking. It aims to remove the hidden fees typically found in traditional banks.

This responsiveness stands in stark contrast to the often clunky customer service processes at traditional banks. Customers there might deal with long wait times & limited availability. But that's not the case here. For instance, AI-powered chatbots often help customers with inquiries and transaction questions, offering quick support. The bank uses smart algorithms & AI to improve processes. This helps boost customer service.

This focus on speed & simplicity has earned Nubank a loyal customer base; by 2021, the bank had more than 40 million customers. The bank aims to be customer-centric. It focuses on reducing bureaucracy and making financial processes simpler. For example, Nubank users can apply for a credit card right in the app. They often get approval in just minutes, which is a sharp difference from the long application processes typical of traditional banks. Nubank's strategy is fundamentally based on its dedication to transparency and excellent customer service.

As the financial landscape evolves, traditional banks must embrace digital transformation. They need to rethink their strategies to stay relevant in a competitive market. By using technology to deliver innovative, customer-focused solutions, these organizations are transforming traditional banking practices and establishing new benchmarks for financial services. The success of these banks shows how crucial it is to be flexible and creative as consumer preferences change quickly. The future of banking is definitely digital, & those who don't adapt risk being left behind in this revolution. In conclusion, the case studies of Revolut, Chime, and Nubank often show the significant influence that neobanks and challenger banks are having on the banking sector.

The success of these neobanks highlights a larger trend in the banking sector: a move toward digital-first solutions. Neobanks' use of technology boosts their services & sets a new standard for what consumers want from financial institutions. With consumers more & more demanding convenience, transparency, and personalized experiences, traditional banks are really challenged to adapt to this new reality.

The bank's growth has also been driven by its efforts to reach the underserved populations in Brazil. By offering accessible financial services to individuals who might not have qualified for traditional banking products, Nubank has often made

significant strides in promoting financial inclusion. This focus on inclusivity aligns with a broader society trend to democratize access to financial services, particularly in the developing regions.

This change brings a big challenge for the traditional banks, which need to come up with new ideas to keep their customers in a more competitive environment. Data shows that the shift towards digital banking is probably going to keep going, with many consumers preferring online banking options instead of traditional brick-and-mortar banks. A report from McKinsey (2021) says that digital banking penetration has really picked up, with more than 60% of consumers in developed markets now using digital banking services.

Real-time spending alerts, budgeting tools, & expense categories help users manage their money better than traditional banks can. By offering a smooth and interesting digital experience, Revolut has built a loyal customer base that appreciates convenience and efficiency. Revolut stands out from its competitors by prioritizing user experience. The mobile app features a sleek interface. Users can easily manage their finances with it.

Nubank's success is evident in the substantial investments it has received from venture capital firms. This funding has allowed the company to broaden its product range and extend its reach into new markets. In 2021, the bank's initial public offering (IPO) often reinforced its position as a significant player in the fintech sector, highlighting the increasing demand for digital banking solutions in Latin America.

Nubank is often seen as one of the most successful fintech companies in Latin America. Founded in 2013 by David Vélez, Cristina Junqueira & Edward Wible, it has made a significant impact in the financial sector. Based in Brazil, Nubank's mission is often to simplify financial services & make them more accessible to the general population. The company's first product was a no-fee credit card, typically managed via a mobile app. This approach often challenged the traditional banking system, which usually imposed high fees and complicated terms on credit products.

Additionally, Chime has actively engaged in promoting financial literacy among its customers. The bank gives a lot of resources for educating users about personal finance management and budgeting and saving strategies. This focus on educating customers boosts user engagement and builds a sense of community, strengthening their loyalty.

These banks have pinpointed issues in traditional banking. They tackle these challenges using technology-based solutions designed for today's consumers. The case studies of Revolut, Chime & Nubank show compelling evidence of how neobanks & challenger banks are shaking up traditional banking practices with their innovative business models & customer-focused approaches.

The bank has invested in influencer marketing and social media to connect with younger people, leading to quick growth. Chime's marketing strategy focuses on online engagement and building customer trust. Chime reported over 12 million account holders as of 2021, demonstrating its success in gaining market share. By often promoting a narrative of transparency & financial empowerment, Chime has typically built a brand that resonates well with its target audience.

Chime's automatic savings feature is one of its most attractive qualities, enabling users to save a portion of their paycheck automatically. Chime's core offerings typically include a spending account, a savings account, & a Chime Visa debit card. Also, Chime users get their paychecks up to two days earlier via direct deposit, which is a pretty appealing offer for folks living paycheck to paycheck.

4.CONCLUSION

As we near the end of this exploration into neobanks and challenger banks, it's important to highlight that these innovative financial institutions are often reshaping the banking sector. They're driving disruption & fostering innovation by using technology to create services focused on customers, which traditional banks often find challenging to deliver. The influence of neobanks and challenger banks on the financial scene is significant, and grasping this change is important for consumers and financial entities alike.

In this paper, we explored the historical context that has allowed neobanks and challenger banks to emerge. From the early days of fintech innovations to now, where digital-first banking is really taking off, the history shows a significant evolution in the banking industry. We talked about how new banking models have emerged due to advancements in technology. For instance, mobile banking and artificial intelligence play significant roles in this transformation. For instance, the rise of smartphones & user-friendly apps has let consumers manage their finances with amazing ease. This has enabled neobanks to flourish in a space where traditional banks are often slow to adapt.

We also looked at the changes in consumer behavior that are supporting these modern banking solutions. Today's consumers are more than ever looking for convenience, clarity, & affordability, which are often missing in old banking systems. The drop in trust for established banks, especially after financial crises & scandals, has opened up great opportunities for neobanks and challenger banks to thrive. They provide a new way of banking and often promise an improved customer experience that typically appeals to today's consumers. Many neobanks have taken on a zero-fee model or provide lower fees than the

traditional banks, attracting people who are careful with their budgets. This has led to a notable change in how consumers view banking services, prompting traditional banks to rethink their strategies to keep their customer base.

Each bank has identified market needs and adjusted their services to meet them. Additionally, case studies of successful neobanks and challenger banks like Revolut in Europe, Chime in the U.S., and Nubank in Latin America showcase the various strategies and innovations these organizations use to challenge the banking industry. Chime has established itself as a convenient option for younger users seeking simple banking solutions without the weight of high fees. For example, Revolut offers multi-currency accounts with low fees. This is great for travelers & expats. Nubank has typically succeeded in capturing the Brazilian market by providing a seamless digital experience and prioritizing customer service, highlighting the often crucial role of understanding local market dynamics.

To further illustrate the disruption caused by neobanks and challenger banks, Table 1 compares the key strategies and innovations of Revolut, Chime, and Nubank, as discussed in the case studies.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Neobank and Challenger Bank Strategies

| Bank | Region | Key Strategies | Innovations | Customer Base (2021) |
|---------|---------------|--|---|----------------------|
| Revolut | Europe | Transparent fee structure, multi-currency accounts, low-cost international transfers | AI-driven budgeting tools, cryptocurrency trading, open banking integration | 15 million |
| Chime | United States | No-fee banking, early direct deposit, automatic savings | AI-powered chatbots, financial literacy tools, seamless mobile app interface | 12 million |
| Nubank | Latin America | No-fee credit cards, transparent pricing, focus on underserved populations | Real-time spending analytics, instant credit approvals, mobile-first platform | 40 million |

Source: Compiled from case studies in Section 3.3 (Revolut, Chime, Nubank).

This transition often faces challenges. This disruption has major implications for traditional banks. Many banks are embracing digital transformation. They are adopting new technologies and partnering with fintech startups. This helps them improve their services. As neobanks and challenger banks grow in popularity, traditional banks must rethink their business models. Traditional banks frequently encounter internal resistance to change and the challenges posed by legacy systems, which impede agility and innovation. This disruption has created a more competitive environment. Traditional banks now face pressure to innovate, or they may lose their market share.

To provide a quantitative perspective, Table 2 compares traditional banks and neobanks based on key operational and consumer metrics, highlighting the competitive advantages of neobanks.

Table 2: Statistical Comparison of Traditional Banks and Neobanks

| Metric | Traditional Banks | Neobanks | Source |
|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|
| Operational Costs | High (due to physical branches, legacy systems) | Up to 80% lower (digital-only, streamlined ops) | Deloitte (2020) |
| Customer Acquisition Cost | \$200–\$400 per customer | \$20–\$50 per customer | McKinsey (2021) |
| Consumer Preference for Digital | 40% prefer in-person banking (2024) | 73% prefer digital for faster transactions | Accenture (2023) |
| Millennial Digital Banking Use | 50% use digital banking (2024) | 80% prefer digital banking | Federal Reserve (2024) |
| Security Concerns | 25% concerned about data security | 38% concerned about data security | J.D. Power (2024) |

Note: Data reflects global averages from cited industry reports.

Policymakers will have to find a balance between encouraging innovation & making sure consumer protection is upheld in the financial system. Furthermore, the rise of neobanks often raises significant questions about the regulatory landscape in which these entities typically function. Future studies should typically look into the regulatory hurdles that neobanks encounter and how these may change as the industry develops. One area to explore might be how rules can be changed to fit the special features of neobanks while still keeping the financial system secure.

Stakeholders in the financial world should often acknowledge the significance of innovation and customer-focused service in today's banking environment. As traditional banks struggle with the challenges from these innovative financial entities, it's crucial for them to embrace digital transformation & adjust their strategies to stay competitive. In conclusion, the emergence of neobanks and challenger banks signifies a fundamental change in the banking industry. This shift is marked by swift technological progress and evolving consumer demands. The ongoing evolution of neobanks and challenger banks is a critical area for observation and analysis, as the future of banking will likely be shaped by those who effectively leverage technology and prioritize consumer needs.

Looking forward, it's clear that the disruption brought by neobanks and challenger banks isn't just a trend, but rather a fundamental change in the way banking services are delivered and used. The lessons that are learned from this disruption can guide a traditional banks in their journey to modernization and adaptation. By focusing on the technology, transparency, and customer experience, the banking sector can move to a more efficient and inclusive future all together. The implications of this transformation typically extend beyond just banking, often influencing the broader financial ecosystem and the way individuals and businesses interact with financial services in an increasingly digital world.

The journey's just starting, & the possibilities are endless as we see the ongoing evolution of banking in this digital age. As we move through this shifting landscape, it's super important for all stakeholders to stay alert & responsive to the changing needs of consumers, making sure that the future of banking is founded on trust, accessibility, & tech advancements. In summary, the rise of neobanks & challenger banks has often challenged the traditional banking paradigm, while also opening up new avenues for growth & innovation within the financial sector.

REFERENCES (APA format)

- Accenture. (2023). Accenture global banking consumer study: Reignite human connections to discover hidden value. Retrieved October 10, 2024, from <https://www.accenture.com/us-en/insights/banking/global-banking-consumer-study>.
- Arena, M. (2022). The Evolution of the Digital Payment Industry in Europe: An Analysis of Customers and Profitability Outlook as Business Model-s Elements of Digital Banks (Master's thesis, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa (Portugal)).
- Arun, T., Markose, S., Murinde, V., Kostov, P., Khan, A., Ari, N., & Sethi, R. (2023). Impact of Neo-banks (Digital Banks) UK–India Comparison.
- Barodawala, Y. A. (2022). Neo banking: An innovative window of banking arena. *Global Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 3, 27-33.
- Bataev, A., & Bataeva, K. (2021, October). Challenger banks performance model. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Scientific Conference on Innovations in Digital Economy* (pp. 425-433).
- Bradford, T. (2020). Neobanks: Banks by any other name. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, Payments System Research Briefing, August, 12, 1-6.
- Buchi, G., Fasolo, L., Cugno, M., Zerbetto, A., & Castagnoli, R. (2019). New Banks in th 4th Industrial Revolution: A Review and Typology. In *Proceedings of 22nd Excellence in Services International Conference* (pp. 1-21). Università di Verona.
- Chrzanowski, M., & Dąbrowski, I. K. (2021). A dynamic perspective on competition between traditional banking and banking fintechs. *Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu*, 65(2), 1-14.
- Corander, B. (2021). Neobanks: Challenges, Risks and Opportunities.
- Curran, S. (2024). The effects of Neobanks on the Irish consumer and barriers to adoption (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin Business School).
- Damsgaard, J. (2021). A Challenger Bank's Entry Strategy Into a New Market.
- Deloitte. (2020). Deloitte's second biennial global cost survey: Cost management practices and trends in the banking sector. Retrieved November 28, 2024, from <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/global/Documents/Consulting/deloitte-cn-fsi-cost-survey-en-200428.pdf>.
- Diñkol, D. (2021). Industry disruption in UK banking: the evolution of regulation, technology adoption and business models (Doctoral dissertation, University of Warwick).
- eMarketer. (2024). Social media's role in consumer decision-making. Retrieved October 19, 2024 from <https://www.emarketer.com/insights/social-media-paid-ads/>.
- Federal Reserve. (2024). Federal Reserve surveys on faster and instant payments. Retrieved November 28, 2024, from <https://www.frbervices.org/news/press-releases/050624-faster-instant-payment-surveys>.

- Gust, M. (2021). Traditional Banking And Fintech Disruption. Projections, Dynamics, Competition And Efficiency. *REVISTA ECONOMIA CONTEMPORANĂ*, 6(4), 67-76.
- J.D. Power. (2024). 2024 Digital Banking Satisfaction Study. Retrieved December 26, 2024 from <https://www.jdpower.com/business/retail-banking-study-1>.
- Johnson, M. (2021). Challenger banks are dead, long live challenger banks. *Journal of Digital Banking*, 5(4), 329-341.
- Kashyap, A. THE EMERGENCE AND IMPACT OF NEO BANKS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW. *BEYOND BORDERS*, 19.
- Lindström, V., & Nilsson, O. (2023). The sudden rise of neobanks and the threat it poses upon the traditional banking system.
- Liu, X. (2024). FINTECH DISRUPTION IN TRADITIONAL BANKING: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.
- McKinsey & Company. (2020). How COVID-19 has pushed companies over the technology tipping point—and transformed business forever. Retrieved October 22, 2024, from <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/how-covid-19-has-pushed-companies-over-the-technology-tipping-point-and-transformed-business-forever>.
- McKinsey & Company. (2021). Asia-Pacific digital banking accelerating, led by emerging markets. Retrieved October 22, 2024, from <https://www.devdiscourse.com/article/international/1745588-mckinsey-report-asia-pacific-digital-banking-accelerating-led-by-emerging-markets>.
- Majid, A. The Evolution of Neo-Banks in Europe.
- Menegon, A. (2020). FinTechs and Challenger Banks: Old Business, Brand New Approach. Iason Consulting Ltd.
- Mogaji, E. (2023). Redefining banks in the digital era: a typology of banks and their research, managerial and policy implications. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 41(7), 1899-1918.
- Mogaji, E., & Nguyen, N. P. (2024). High street banking on the app: branding strategies of traditionally-driven neobanks. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 42(2), 301-330.
- Nicoletti, B., & Nicoletti, B. (2021). Proposition of value and Fintech organizations in Banking 5.0. *Banking 5.0: How Fintech Will Change Traditional Banks in the 'New Normal' Post Pandemic*, 91-152.
- Onashabay, N. (2021). Effects of Covid-19 pandemic on the key profitability factors of digital challenger banks. *Starling Bank case study* (Doctoral dissertation, Central European University).
- PwC Australia. (2021). Customer banking survey 2021: The great banking transition. Retrieved November 02, 2024, from <https://www.pwc.com.au/consulting/transforming-financial-services/customer-banking-survey.html>
- Rogers, J. (2021). FinTech Disruption: What the impacts of Neobanks on the Irish retail banking consumer?.
- Santos, S. M. S. (2018). Traditional and Challenger banks in UK: Comparison in terms of customer value. *Universidade Catolica Portuguesa* (Portugal).
- Shanti, R., Siregar, H., & Zulfainarni, N. (2024). Revolutionizing Banking: Neobanks' Digital Transformation for Enhanced Efficiency. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(5), 188.
- Singh, L. (2022). Future of Banking: With Emerging Technologies—A case study with MCB (Doctoral dissertation, Amity University (Mauritius)).
- Temelkov, Z. (2020). Differences between traditional bank model and fintech based digital bank and neobanks models. *SocioBrains*, International scientific refereed online journal with impact factor, (74), 8-15.
- Wamsler, B. J. P. (2023). Neo-banks versus traditional banks: a comparative study of operations and financial performance in Europe (Master's thesis, ISCTE-Instituto Universitario de Lisboa (Portugal)).
- Waupsh, J. (2022). How hyper-personalisation is fuelling digital banking's second wave. *Journal of Digital Banking*, 7(2), 122-128.
- Zoi, S. (2021). FinTech and digital transformation in financial services: a new digital financial world (Master's thesis, Πανεπιστήμιο Πειραιώς).